Ecotourism:

A Critical Assessment of Its Promise, Perils, and Pathways to Sustainability

OCTOBER 17, 2025
KEVIN PARKER- SANE EARTH
kevinparker.com.au

Ecotourism: A Critical Assessment of Its Promise, Perils, and Pathways to Sustainability

Executive Summary

Ecotourism has emerged as a dominant and rapidly growing segment of the global tourism industry, presented as a sustainable alternative to the often-destructive impacts of mass tourism. This report addresses the fundamental question of whether ecotourism is "good or bad" by moving beyond a simplistic binary judgment to offer a comprehensive, critical assessment. The analysis reveals that ecotourism is neither inherently beneficial nor detrimental. Instead, it is a powerful and complex tool whose ultimate impact is contingent upon the integrity of its implementation, the robustness of its governing frameworks, and the alignment of its economic incentives with genuine conservation and community development goals.

The investigation begins by establishing a precise definition of ecotourism, anchored in the core principles developed by organizations such as The International Ecotourism Society (TIES). These principles—minimizing impact, providing direct financial benefits for conservation, empowering local communities, and fostering environmental and cultural education—serve as the benchmark against which real-world practices are measured.

When implemented correctly, ecotourism can create a virtuous cycle of benefits. Environmentally, it provides a crucial economic incentive for conservation, directly funding the protection and restoration of natural habitats, as demonstrated by Costa Rica's successful reforestation and national park system. Economically, it can generate significant local prosperity through job creation and support for small enterprises, with documented multiplier effects that can double the value of each tourist dollar within a local economy. Socio-culturally, it can empower Indigenous and local communities, preserve cultural heritage, and foster meaningful cross-cultural understanding.

However, the promise of ecotourism is frequently undermined by significant perils that can create a vicious cycle of degradation. The very success that drives the industry—its popularity—often leads to overcrowding, pollution, habitat destruction, and the introduction of invasive species, as starkly illustrated by the ecological challenges facing the Galápagos Islands. Economically, the benefits are often captured by foreign corporations through "economic leakage," where profits are repatriated, leaving local communities with minimal financial gain and a vulnerable dependence on a volatile industry. Culturally, it can lead to the commodification of sacred traditions and, in some cases, the displacement of local populations.

The gap between principle and practice is often wide, exacerbated by the phenomenon of "greenwashing," where the "eco" label is used as a marketing façade to attract conscientious travelers without a substantive commitment to sustainable practices. This report concludes that the determining factor in ecotourism's success or failure is governance. Effective ecotourism requires a robust framework of clear regulations, credible third-party certification, transparent economic models that ensure local benefit, and a steadfast political will to prioritize long-term ecological and social well-being over short-term commercial profit. The report provides a framework for evaluating ecotourism initiatives and offers targeted

recommendations for policymakers, industry operators, and travelers to help navigate this complex landscape and steer the industry toward a more genuinely sustainable future.

Section 1: Defining the Ecotourism Paradigm

To critically assess the impacts of ecotourism, it is first necessary to establish a precise and historically contextualized definition of the concept. This section traces its evolution, synthesizes the core principles that underpin its ideal form, and differentiates it from related but distinct forms of travel. This foundational understanding provides the essential framework for evaluating its real-world applications and consequences.

1.1 The Evolution of a Concept: From Niche Travel to a Global Movement

Ecotourism emerged in the latter half of the 20th century as a direct response to the increasingly evident destructive effects of conventional mass tourism.[1] The post-war economic boom saw the rise of large-scale, often foreign-owned resorts in developing nations, which marketed themselves as paradises of "sun, sand, sea, and sex".[1] This model frequently led to environmental degradation, the displacement of local communities, and the siphoning of profits away from host countries.[2] In reaction, a new philosophy began to take shape, reflecting a growing consumer interest in minimizing one's carbon footprint and actively contributing to the preservation of nature while traveling.[3]

The conceptual groundwork was laid in the 1960s and 1970s through discussions around "eco-development," a model that sought to harmonize socioeconomic progress with environmental protection.[1] Thinkers and conservationists recognized that for tourism, the quality of the environment was not an externality but the very basis of the product, necessitating a cooperative relationship between tourism and conservation interests.[1] This period saw pioneering efforts by figures like Lars-Eric Lindblad, who began taking travelers to remote, ecologically sensitive areas previously accessible only to scientists.[1]

The concept was formally defined and catapulted onto the global stage with the founding of The Ecotourism Society (later The International Ecotourism Society, or TIES) in 1990.[4], [5], [6] TIES provided the first widely accepted definitions and principles, establishing a clear framework that united conservation, community development, and sustainable travel.[4], [7] This formalization coincided with a surge in global environmental consciousness, fueling rapid growth. Ecotourism is now considered the fastest-growing sector of the travel and tourism industry, with its economic value projected to surpass USD $100 billion by 2027.[1] This growth signifies a profound shift in the travel industry, driven by a market that increasingly demands responsible and meaningful experiences.[3], [8]

1.2 Core Principles: A Synthesis of Global Standards

At the heart of the ecotourism paradigm is a set of core principles that define its objectives and distinguish it from other forms of travel. While various organizations have offered nuanced definitions, a strong consensus has formed around a central set of ideals. The most widely cited definition comes from TIES, which defines ecotourism as “responsible travel to natural areas that conserves the environment, sustains the well-being of the local people, and involves interpretation and education”.[9], [10], [11] This definition rests on three essential pillars: conservation, communities, and interpretation/education.

From this definition flow a series of guiding principles for those who implement, market, and participate in ecotourism activities. A synthesis of the standards promoted by TIES, the UN World Tourism Organization (UNWTO), and the Global Ecotourism Network (GEN) reveals the following core tenets:

- **Minimize Impact:** Design, construct, and operate low-impact facilities and activities that minimize physical, social, behavioral, and psychological impacts on the environment and local communities.[3], [9], [12] This includes "leave no trace" policies and sustainable management of resources like water and energy.[3], [8]
- **Provide Direct Financial Benefits for Conservation:** Generate funds that are explicitly used for the management, protection, and restoration of natural ecosystems and protected areas.[4], [8], [9]
- **Generate Financial Benefits for Local People:** Ensure that economic benefits from tourism flow directly to the host community through local ownership, employment, and the sourcing of local goods and services, thereby empowering residents and providing a viable economic alternative to destructive industries.[3], [4], [9]
- **Build Environmental and Cultural Awareness:** The experience must be educational, fostering a greater understanding and appreciation for the host country's natural heritage, culture, and political and social climates among both visitors and hosts.[4], [8], [9]
- **Provide Positive Experiences for Visitors and Hosts:** Ensure that interactions are respectful and rewarding for both tourists and community members, creating memorable and meaningful experiences that go beyond superficial encounters.[3], [9]
- **Respect Local Culture and Human Rights:** Recognize and respect the rights, traditions, and spiritual beliefs of local and Indigenous communities, ensuring they are partners in and have control over tourism development in their regions.[3], [4]

The evolution of these principles is noteworthy. In 2015, TIES officially revised its definition to explicitly include "interpretation and education," elevating it to a core pillar.[11] This change underscored the belief that authentic ecotourism must do more than just operate in nature; it must actively create an "ecological conscience" and raise sensitivity among all participants.[11] The UNWTO similarly emphasizes the educational component and the importance of using small, locally owned businesses to deliver these experiences, particularly in and around protected areas.[13], [14] The breadth of these principles allows for flexible application in diverse global contexts, but this same ambiguity also creates vulnerabilities. The lack of hard, quantifiable metrics for terms like "minimizing impact" or "providing benefits" allows for loose interpretation, which can be exploited by operators who are not genuinely committed to the ethos. This definitional flexibility is a double-edged sword: it fosters inclusivity but also enables the very practices, such as greenwashing, that undermine the concept's integrity.

1.3 The Spectrum of Sustainable Travel: Differentiating Ecotourism, Nature Tourism, and Responsible Tourism

The lexicon of ethical travel is often used interchangeably, leading to confusion. A precise, expert-level analysis requires a clear differentiation between ecotourism and its related concepts.

Sustainable Tourism is the broadest and most encompassing term. The UNWTO defines it as "tourism that takes full account of its current and future economic, social and environmental impacts, addressing the needs of visitors, the industry, the environment and host communities".[15], [16] It is not a type of tourism but an *aspiration* for the entire industry. Its principles of environmental, economic, and socio-cultural sustainability are applicable to all forms of tourism, from a large urban hotel to a remote jungle lodge, including mass tourism.[16], [17]

Nature-Based Tourism is a category of tourism where the primary motivation for travel is the observation and appreciation of nature.[13], [17] Activities like hiking, wildlife viewing, or visiting a national park fall under this umbrella. However, nature-based tourism does not inherently include the ethical commitments that define ecotourism. A large, foreign-owned resort built next to a national park may offer nature-based activities, but if it fails to minimize its environmental impact, employ local people, or contribute to conservation, it is not ecotourism.[18], [19]

Ecotourism is therefore a specific, niche segment within nature-based tourism.[17] It is distinguished by its ethical framework and active commitment to positive outcomes. Academic literature has converged on three core criteria that must be satisfied for an activity to be considered authentic ecotourism: (1) the attractions must be predominantly nature-based; (2) the visitor's experience must be focused on learning and education; and (3) the operation must be managed for sustainability, with benefits flowing to conservation and the local community.[20] In essence, ecotourism is nature-based tourism that is *ethically managed* to be low-impact, non-consumptive, locally oriented, and actively contributory to both ecological conservation and the well-being of host communities.[17], [21]

This distinction is critical because it reveals the foundational tension at the heart of the ecotourism debate. The model is built on the premise that a market-based mechanism—tourism—can be harnessed to fund conservation and support communities.[4], [9] However, this creates an inherent conflict of interest. The commercial imperative to generate profit often pushes operators to increase visitor numbers, expand infrastructure, or cut costs, which can directly oppose the conservation imperative to limit human presence, minimize development, and invest in sustainable practices. This conflict between commerce and conservation is a recurring theme in the analysis of ecotourism's successes and failures, demonstrating that it is not a simple win-win solution but a constant and delicate balancing act.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Ecotourism Principles Across Key International Organizations

Principle	The International Ecotourism Society (TIES)	UN World Tourism Organization (UNWTO)	Global Ecotourism Network (GEN)
Conservation Contribution	Provide direct financial benefits for conservation; conserve the environment;	Minimize negative impacts on the natural environment; maintain essential ecological	Conserves the environment; supports the maintenance of natural areas.[17], [18]

Principle	The International Ecotourism Society (TIES)	UN World Tourism Organization (UNWTO)	Global Ecotourism Network (GEN)
	enhance bio-cultural diversity.[9]	processes; conserve natural heritage and biodiversity.[13], [16]	
Community Benefit	Sustain the well-being of local people; generate financial benefits and empowerment for local people; support human rights.[4], [9]	Ensure viable, long-term economic operations providing fairly distributed socio-economic benefits to all stakeholders, including host communities.[16]	Sustains the well-being of the local people; generates economic benefits for host communities.[17], [18]
Visitor Education & Interpretation	Involves interpretation and education for both staff and guests; deliver memorable interpretative experiences to raise sensitivity.[9], [11]	Contains educational and interpretation features; raises tourist awareness about sustainability issues.[13], [16]	Creates knowledge and understanding through interpretation and education of all involved (visitors, staff, and the visited).[17], [18]
Low Environmental Impact	Minimize physical, social, behavioral, and psychological impacts; design, construct, and operate low-impact facilities.[9]	Make optimal use of environmental resources; minimize negative impacts upon the natural environment.[13], [16]	Minimizes negative impacts upon the natural and socio-cultural environment.[17]
Cultural Respect	Build cultural awareness and respect; respect the socio-cultural authenticity of host communities.[9]	Conserve their built and living cultural heritage and traditional values; contribute to inter-cultural	Respects traditional cultures prevailing in natural areas.[17]

Principle	The International Ecotourism Society (TIES)	UN World Tourism Organization (UNWTO)	Global Ecotourism Network (GEN)
		understanding and tolerance.[16]	

Section 2: The Virtuous Cycle: Documented Benefits of Ecotourism

When implemented in accordance with its core principles, ecotourism has demonstrated the capacity to generate significant and mutually reinforcing benefits across environmental, socio-cultural, and economic dimensions. By creating a direct economic linkage between a healthy ecosystem and local prosperity, it can initiate a "virtuous cycle" where conservation is incentivized, communities are empowered, and travelers are enriched. This section examines the documented positive outcomes of well-executed ecotourism, supported by quantitative data and specific case studies.

2.1 Environmental Gains: Funding Conservation and Fostering Stewardship

The most fundamental promise of ecotourism is its potential to serve as a sustainable funding mechanism for conservation. By assigning economic value to intact ecosystems, it provides a powerful market-based incentive to protect natural and cultural heritage.[9], [18] This process works through several channels. First, revenue generated from park entrance fees, tourism concessions, and specialized tours can be directly reinvested into the management, expansion, and protection of parks and reserves.[22], [23] This creates a self-sustaining financial model for conservation that is less reliant on fluctuating government budgets or philanthropic donations.

The case of Costa Rica stands as a premier example of this model in action. The nation has strategically leveraged its appeal as an ecotourism destination to fund one of the world's most lauded national park systems, which now protects over a quarter of its land area.[24], [25] This approach has been instrumental in achieving a remarkable environmental turnaround: in the last three decades, the country has managed to double its forest cover, effectively reversing a severe trend of deforestation.[24], [26] A key policy innovation is the Payment for Environmental Services Programme (PESP), which compensates private landowners for their conservation efforts. This program is partially funded by taxes on fossil fuels and explicitly recognizes the value of "scenic beauty for recreation and ecotourism" as a compensable ecosystem service, thereby embedding the value of tourism directly into national conservation finance.[27]

Beyond direct funding, ecotourism fosters a culture of environmental stewardship. The educational and interpretive components of authentic ecotourism are designed to raise environmental awareness among both visitors and local residents, encouraging pro-conservation attitudes and behaviors.[3], [18], [28] This can take the form of hands-on engagement through "voluntourism" programs or conservation workshops, where travelers actively participate in activities such as reforestation, wildlife rehabilitation, habitat restoration, or environmental clean-ups.[3] These experiences transform the tourist from a passive observer into an active participant in conservation, creating a deeper connection to the place and a stronger commitment to its protection.

2.2 Socio-Cultural Empowerment: Preserving Heritage and Building Bridges

A central tenet of ecotourism is that it must sustain and improve the well-being of host communities. When structured properly, it can be a powerful vehicle for socio-cultural empowerment, particularly for rural and Indigenous populations who are often the stewards of the planet's most biodiverse regions.[9] By creating local employment and supporting locally owned businesses, ecotourism provides a sustainable livelihood that can serve as an alternative to more destructive or extractive industries, such as logging, mining, or unsustainable agriculture.[29], [30] This economic empowerment is a critical first step toward greater community stability and self-determination.

The principle of "interpretation" is key to unlocking the socio-cultural benefits of ecotourism. Unlike mass tourism, which can create a performer-audience dynamic, authentic ecotourism aims to facilitate meaningful interactions that promote genuine cross-cultural understanding, respect, and appreciation for local societies and traditions.[4], [9], [31] This focus on education and shared experience helps to preserve and validate local cultural heritage, giving communities a sense of pride and an economic incentive to maintain their traditions.[32]

In Australia, Indigenous-led ecotourism exemplifies this potential. It is a rapidly growing sector that provides a platform for First Nations peoples to share their ancient culture, maintain their profound connection to Country, and generate sustainable economic opportunities on their own terms.[33] For example, Mabu Buru Tours, an Indigenous-owned enterprise in Western Australia, not only offers immersive cultural experiences but also channels 50% of its profits into the Mabu Buru Foundation. This foundation is dedicated to ensuring the survival and preservation of Indigenous culture through workshops, training programs for local people, and land management initiatives.[34] This model demonstrates how ecotourism can move beyond simple employment to become a tool for cultural revitalization, knowledge transmission, and community-led development, directly fulfilling the principle of empowering local people.

2.3 Economic Prosperity: Analyzing Local Impacts and Multiplier Effects

The economic argument for ecotourism rests on its ability to generate sustainable, locally-retained wealth in regions that often have limited economic alternatives.[22], [35] Unlike conventional tourism, which is often dominated by large, foreign-owned chains, the ecotourism model emphasizes the use of small, locally owned businesses.[13] This focus on local enterprises—including lodges, restaurants, guide services, and handicraft producers—is crucial for ensuring that tourism revenue remains within the host community and strengthens local value chains.[28], [36]

The economic impact of ecotourism extends far beyond the direct jobs in the tourism sector. A significant and often underestimated benefit is the "multiplier effect," where money spent by tourists circulates through the local economy, generating additional income and employment in other sectors. The World Bank has conducted extensive analysis using Local Economy-Wide Impact Evaluation (LEWIE) models to quantify this ripple effect, and the results are compelling.[22] The data reveals a powerful symbiotic relationship between economic benefits and conservation incentives. The tangible financial returns from tourism, as quantified in the table below, create a clear and persuasive economic case for protecting the natural assets that attract visitors. This transforms conservation from being perceived as a cost or a barrier to development into a vital and profitable economic investment. This feedback loop—where the protected environment attracts tourists, whose spending then funds further protection, which in

turn enhances the destination's appeal and economic value—is the very definition of the virtuous cycle that well-managed ecotourism can create.

The degree to which these economic benefits are realized and distributed equitably is directly linked to the level of local ownership and control. The positive economic data is most pronounced in scenarios where local people are not just employees but owners and managers of tourism enterprises. This ensures that profits are reinvested locally and that development aligns with community goals. This stands in stark contrast to models dominated by foreign investment, where high rates of economic leakage prevent wealth from accumulating locally, a critical issue explored in the following section.

Table 2: Quantified Economic Impacts of Nature-Based Tourism on Local Communities

Country/Region	Key Metric	Statistic	Source
Uganda (Queen Elizabeth NP)	Income Multiplier	For every $1 spent by a tourist, local incomes increase by $2.03.	[22]
Madagascar (Nosy Tanikely NP)	Income Multiplier	For every $1 spent by a tourist, local incomes increase by $2.48.	[22]
Brazil (Abrolhos Marine NP)	Income Multiplier	For every 1 Real spent by a visitor, household income increases by 1.74 Reals.	[22]
Zambia (South Luangwa NP)	Local Employment	Tourism generates jobs for 30% of the working-age population.	[22]
Fiji (Mamanuca Islands)	Local Employment	Tourism supports 13% of local employment.	[22]
Madagascar (Nosy Be Region)	Poverty Alleviation	56% of tourism-generated income goes to poor households.	[22]
Uganda (Bwindi Impenetrable NP)	Overall Economic Benefit	Tourism generated $31.7 million in economic benefits, compared to a park budget of $2.3 million.	[22]

Section 3: The Vicious Cycle: Risks, Drawbacks, and Unintended Consequences

Despite its laudable principles and documented successes, ecotourism is not a panacea. When poorly planned, inadequately regulated, or driven by purely commercial interests, it can fail to deliver on its promises and may even cause significant environmental, economic, and socio-cultural harm. This section provides a critical counterpoint by examining the risks and unintended consequences that can turn the virtuous cycle of sustainable development into a vicious cycle of degradation and exploitation.

3.1 The Ecological Footprint: When Protection Leads to Degradation

A fundamental paradox lies at the heart of ecotourism: the very elements that make a destination attractive—its pristine nature, unique wildlife, and sense of remoteness—are inherently vulnerable to the impacts of tourism itself. The more "successful" an ecotourism destination becomes in attracting visitors, the greater its risk of ecological degradation. This "paradox of popularity" manifests in several ways. The sheer volume of visitors can lead to overcrowding at sensitive sites, causing tangible damage such as soil compaction and trail erosion, as well as less visible impacts like noise pollution and stress on wildlife populations.[2], [28]

The development of infrastructure required to support tourism, even when labeled "eco," inevitably leaves an environmental footprint. The construction of lodges, roads, and other amenities can lead to habitat alteration, fragmentation, and destruction.[2], [37] These facilities also increase local demand for resources like water and energy and produce waste and wastewater, which, if not managed with the highest standards, can pollute local ecosystems.[2]

Furthermore, the increased proximity between humans and wildlife can have severe consequences. Scientists have documented changes in the feeding, breeding, and social behaviors of wild animals in response to human presence.[2] In some cases, animals become habituated to humans and dependent on food provided by tourists, which can alter natural foraging habits and lead to human-wildlife conflict.[37] There is also a significant risk of zoonotic disease transmission; for instance, mountain gorillas in Africa are highly susceptible to human infectious diseases like influenza and measles, which can be transmitted by tourists in close proximity.[2]

The Galápagos Islands serve as a stark cautionary tale. The archipelago's fame as a cradle of evolutionary biology has driven a massive increase in tourism, from about 1,000 visitors per year in the 1960s to well over 200,000 in recent years.[38], [39] This influx of people, along with the ships and planes that transport them, has been a primary vector for the introduction of non-native and invasive species, including goats, cats, dogs, and rats.[39], [40] These species have wreaked havoc on the delicate island ecosystems, preying on native animals like young giant tortoises and iguanas, and outcompeting them for food resources. The combined pressures of tourism-related development and invasive species became so severe that in 2007, UNESCO placed the Galápagos on its List of World Heritage in Danger, a clear indictment of how uncontrolled tourism can threaten the very assets it depends on.[2]

3.2 The Hollow Economy: Economic Leakage and Over-Dependence

One of the most significant failures of poorly implemented ecotourism is its inability to deliver on its core economic promise to local communities. This failure is primarily due to the phenomenon of "economic leakage," where the majority of tourism revenue flows out of the host destination instead of circulating locally.[41], [42] This systemic issue represents a fundamental breakdown of the ecotourism model, which explicitly calls for generating financial benefits for local people.[9] Leakage typically occurs in two main forms:

- **Export Leakage:** This happens when tourism businesses are owned by foreign corporations. Profits from international hotel chains, large tour operators, and cruise lines are often repatriated to their home countries, with only a small fraction remaining in the host economy in the form of low-wage jobs.[41], [43], [44] This structural imbalance is common in developing nations where local communities may lack the capital to invest in the high-standard infrastructure demanded by international tourists, creating an opening for foreign investors to dominate the market.[41]
- **Import Leakage:** This occurs when tourism businesses must import goods, services, and even skilled labor to meet the expectations of foreign tourists. This can include everything from specific food and beverage brands to construction materials and management staff.[41], [42] This reliance on imports means that local farmers, producers, and professionals are bypassed, and money that could support local supply chains is instead sent abroad.

The scale of economic leakage can be staggering. In many developing countries, leakage rates are estimated to be between 70% and 80%.[41], [42] In the Caribbean, the rate is estimated at 80%.[42] One study in Bali highlighted the stark difference between ownership models: foreign-owned 4- and 5-star resorts exhibited a leakage rate of 51%, while locally owned, non-star-rated hotels had a leakage rate of only 8.8%.[41] These figures demonstrate that without intentional policies to promote local ownership and local sourcing, ecotourism can become another form of extractive industry, reinforcing global economic inequalities rather than alleviating them.

Beyond leakage, an over-reliance on tourism creates a fragile, non-diversified economy that is highly vulnerable to external shocks. Global economic downturns, political instability, natural disasters, or pandemics can cause a sudden collapse in tourism demand, devastating communities that have become entirely dependent on it for their livelihoods.[45], [46]

3.3 Cultural Commodification and Community Displacement

The socio-cultural impacts of poorly managed ecotourism can be just as damaging as the environmental and economic ones. In some of the most egregious cases, the establishment of protected areas for conservation and ecotourism has led to the forced displacement of Indigenous peoples from their ancestral lands. Under the guise of environmental protection, communities have been barred from traditional hunting, fishing, and gathering grounds, severing their connection to the land and their traditional livelihoods.[2], [47]

Even when communities are not displaced, there is a significant risk of cultural commodification, sometimes referred to as "cultural extractivism".[47] This occurs when complex and sacred cultural practices, rituals, and traditions are simplified, staged, and transformed into marketable "experiences" for tourist consumption.[48], [49] This process can strip these

practices of their authentic meaning and turn them into superficial performances. For example, the growing demand for spiritual tourism in Ecuador has led some Kichwa communities in the Amazon to offer condensed, "tourist-friendly" versions of sacred cleansing rituals. This has not only diluted the cultural significance of the practice but has also created social friction and conflict with neighboring communities who view it as a betrayal of their heritage.[49]

On a broader level, the influx of tourists with different cultural norms and greater purchasing power can lead to social tensions. It can also drive up the cost of living, including property values and prices for basic goods, which can marginalize local residents who are not directly employed in the tourism industry and cannot afford the inflated prices.[18], [50] This can create a two-tiered society and foster resentment toward the tourism industry and the visitors it brings.

Section 4: The Implementation Gap: When Practice Betrays Principle

The divergence between the ideals of ecotourism and its often-problematic outcomes can be attributed to a significant "implementation gap." This gap is where noble principles are compromised by commercial pressures, weak governance, and a lack of genuine commitment. This section examines two key mechanisms that characterize this failure: the deceptive practice of greenwashing and the systemic flaws that lead to failed ecotourism projects.

4.1 The Façade of "Green": Deconstructing Greenwashing in the Tourism Sector

Greenwashing is the practice of deceptively promoting an organization's products, activities, or policies as environmentally friendly to mislead consumers and capitalize on the growing demand for sustainable travel.[51], [52] It is a direct market response to the increasing value of the "eco" label. As travelers become more environmentally conscious, sustainability becomes a powerful marketing tool.[3], [53] In a poorly regulated market, it is often cheaper and more profitable to *appear* green than to actually *be* green. This creates a competitive disadvantage for genuinely sustainable operators who incur real costs for their practices, while allowing disingenuous operators to capture market share through false advertising.[49], [54] The lack of a universally adopted and enforced certification system for ecotourism creates a fertile ground for greenwashing to thrive.[38]

Greenwashing manifests through several common tactics in the tourism sector:

- **Vague Claims and Misleading Terminology:** Operators often use broad, undefined buzzwords like "eco-friendly," "green," or "natural" in their marketing without providing any specific, verifiable evidence to support these claims.[49], [52] The term "ecotourism" itself is frequently misapplied to any form of outdoor or nature-based tourism, regardless of its actual impact or ethical commitments.[55]
- **Misleading Aesthetics and "Eco-Chic" Design:** A popular tactic involves creating a rustic, natural aesthetic to give the impression of sustainability. So-called "eco-lodges" may be built with natural materials but engage in highly unsustainable practices behind the scenes. A prominent example is found in Tulum, Mexico, where hotels marketed as eco-friendly have been found to power their facilities with dirty diesel generators and drain untreated sewage directly into the region's fragile underground river systems,

which serve as a vital source of drinking water.[48], [49] Building large swimming pools in water-scarce destinations is another common example of this disconnect between image and impact.[49]

- **Unethical Animal Tourism Disguised as Conservation:** One of the most pernicious forms of greenwashing involves marketing unethical animal encounters as "sanctuaries," "rescue centers," or "conservation projects." Activities that involve direct human interaction with wild animals, such as elephant riding and bathing in Thailand or swimming with captive dolphins, often involve cruel training methods and prioritize tourist entertainment over animal welfare. Legitimate sanctuaries focus on rehabilitation and typically do not allow such close contact.[48], [49]
- **Highlighting Token Efforts:** This classic greenwashing strategy involves promoting a minor, positive environmental action to distract from significant negative impacts elsewhere in the operation. The very term "greenwashing" was coined in the 1980s by environmentalist Jay Westerveld to describe a hotel in Fiji that promoted a towel reuse program to "save the environment" while simultaneously expanding its operations with little regard for its overall environmental footprint.[51] This tactic gives the illusion of environmental responsibility while avoiding substantive changes to core business practices.

4.2 Anatomy of Failure: Why Ecotourism Projects Underdeliver or Cause Harm

Beyond deliberate deception, many ecotourism initiatives fail to achieve their goals due to fundamental flaws in their planning and governance. These failures underscore that good intentions are insufficient without robust structures to guide implementation and ensure accountability. The success or failure of ecotourism is ultimately less dependent on the inherent qualities of the concept itself and more on the quality of governance at all levels.

- **Top-Down Planning and Lack of Community Participation:** A frequent cause of failure is a top-down planning approach, where projects are designed and imposed by central governments, international NGOs, or private developers without the meaningful involvement of the local communities they are meant to benefit.[56], [57] This approach often ignores local knowledge, priorities, and cultural contexts, leading to projects that are inappropriate, unsustainable, and resented by the host community. True empowerment requires a bottom-up approach where local communities are central to the decision-making process.[56]
- **Weak Governance and Lack of Enforcement:** The gap between policy and practice is often a chasm. Many countries have excellent environmental laws and tourism policies on paper, but they fail in practice due to weak state presence, corruption, lack of financial resources for monitoring, and inconsistent enforcement.[40] The Galápagos Islands provide a prime case study. The 1998 Special Law for the Galapagos was a comprehensive piece of legislation designed to control tourism, immigration, and fishing. However, local leaders widely agree that its implementation has been ineffective due to weak and fragmented institutions, politicized decision-making, and a failure to

enforce unpopular but necessary provisions.[58] This demonstrates that without effective governance and the political will to enforce regulations, even the best-laid plans will fail.

- **Misunderstanding the Nature of the Challenge:** Sustainable tourism is often approached as a technocratic challenge that can be solved with the right management tools, metrics, and technologies. However, at its core, it is a deeply political issue. It involves conflicts over land use, resource rights, the distribution of economic benefits, and the power dynamics between local communities, national governments, and international corporations.[57] Ignoring this political dimension and failing to address issues of equity and power is a recipe for failure.
- **Betrayal of Core Principles:** In the most extreme cases, the "eco" label is used to mask activities that are actively and illegally damaging the environment. An account from a researcher studying private conservation described a highly awarded "eco-reserve" in South America that was caught using an excavator to illegally dig canals into a glacier. The purpose was to divert glacial meltwater to power illegally constructed hydroelectric plants for its luxury "eco-hotels".[59] This example represents a complete and cynical betrayal of every principle of ecotourism, hidden behind a veneer of awards and green marketing.

Section 5: Global Case Studies: Ecotourism in Action

The theoretical promises and perils of ecotourism are best understood through its application in the real world. The following case studies of Costa Rica, the Galápagos Islands, and Australia provide a comparative analysis of three distinct models of ecotourism development. Each case illustrates how different approaches to governance, community involvement, and economic strategy can lead to vastly different outcomes, highlighting the critical factors that determine success or failure.

5.1 Costa Rica: The National Strategy Model

Costa Rica is widely celebrated as the "poster child" for ecotourism, having successfully transformed its national identity and economy around a platform of environmental conservation.[24] Its success is not an accident of its immense biodiversity but the result of a decades-long, multi-faceted national strategy.

- **The Success Story:** The foundation of Costa Rica's model is a deep and consistent commitment from the government. This began with the creation of an extensive national park system in the 1960s and 1970s, which now protects over 25% of the country's land.[25], [60] This commitment is enshrined in the nation's constitution, which guarantees citizens the right to a healthy environment.[61] Key policies, such as the 1996 Forestry Law and its associated Payment for Environmental Services Programme (PESP), created direct economic incentives for conservation on private lands.[27] This strong policy framework was complemented by a robust scientific foundation, with research institutions like the Organization for Tropical Studies (OTS) providing the critical data

needed to inform conservation strategies from the 1960s onward.[62] The private sector played an equally vital role. Pioneering entrepreneurs, many of them American expatriates, established innovative eco-lodges like Lapa Rios and Punta Islita, which set high standards for low-impact design, community employment, and contributions to local development, demonstrating a viable business model for authentic ecotourism.[27], [63], [64]

- **Critiques and Challenges:** Despite its celebrated success, Costa Rica's model is not without flaws. The very strength of its "green" brand has created opportunities for less scrupulous businesses to "free-ride" on this reputation, marketing themselves as eco-friendly without adhering to sustainable practices.[64] The country's popularity has also led to the familiar paradox of success: popular national parks and destinations now face issues of overcrowding, trail erosion, and pollution, indicating that even this well-planned model is straining under the pressure of accommodating millions of visitors annually.[2], [65] Furthermore, the ecotourism industry exists alongside large-scale, environmentally damaging agricultural sectors, such as pineapple and banana production, highlighting ongoing contradictions in the country's development path.[26], [60]

5.2 The Galápagos Islands: A Fragile Paradise Under Pressure

If Costa Rica represents the potential success of a proactive national strategy, the Galápagos Islands serve as a powerful cautionary tale of tourism growth outpacing effective governance. The archipelago's unique and fragile ecosystem has been placed under immense strain by its own allure.

- **The Cautionary Tale:** The primary negative impact of tourism in the Galápagos has been ecological. The dramatic increase in visitor numbers has been directly linked to the introduction and spread of invasive species, which arrive on tourist boats and cargo planes and pose the single greatest threat to the islands' endemic wildlife.[40], [46], [66] This tourism boom has also fueled a socio-economic crisis. Migration from mainland Ecuador in search of tourism-related jobs has caused the resident population to grow by over 300% in recent decades.[39] This rapid, unplanned urbanization has overwhelmed local infrastructure, leading to inadequate waste management, water shortages, and pollution.[39], [67] It has also created social conflicts, particularly between the conservation goals of the national park and the economic needs of the local fishing community, whose traditional livelihoods have been curtailed by marine reserve regulations.[39], [68]
- **Mitigation and Governance Efforts:** In response to these pressures, authorities have implemented some of the strictest tourism regulations in the world. The Galapagos National Park mandates that all visitors be accompanied by a licensed naturalist guide, stay on designated trails, and adhere to strict rules regarding wildlife interaction. A $100 park entrance fee is charged to foreign tourists to fund conservation.[39], [69] The most significant legislative effort was the 1998 Special Law for the Galapagos, an ambitious attempt to control immigration, regulate fishing, and manage development. However, the law's effectiveness has been severely hampered by inconsistent implementation, weak institutional capacity, political instability, and a lack of enforcement, particularly for its more unpopular provisions.[40], [58] The ongoing struggles in the Galápagos highlight the profound difficulty of protecting a fragile ecosystem when the local economy is

almost entirely dependent on tourism revenue, creating a constant tension between conservation and development.[46], [68]

5.3 Australia: The Regulated and Certified Model

Australia's approach to ecotourism is characterized by a strong emphasis on industry-led standards, third-party certification, and a formal partnership between government and the tourism sector to define and promote sustainability.

- **Governance through Certification:** The cornerstone of the Australian model is Ecotourism Australia, a non-profit organization established in 1991.[70] It developed the world's first ECO Certification program, which provides an independent, third-party assessment of tourism operators.[71] The program has several tiers—including Nature Tourism, Ecotourism, and Advanced Ecotourism—allowing businesses to be recognized for their level of commitment to sustainable practices. This certification system serves as a key tool to build consumer trust, provide a marketing advantage to responsible operators, and combat greenwashing.[72], [73] This industry-led effort is supported by government initiatives like the National Sustainability Framework for the Visitor Economy, which provides a nationally agreed-upon vision and practical guidance for sustainable tourism.[53]
- **Application and Critiques:** This model is applied across Australia's diverse ecosystems. In the Great Barrier Reef, tourism is a double-edged sword: it generates over $6 billion annually, a portion of which funds crucial management and research through initiatives like the Reef 2050 Plan. At the same time, heavy boat traffic, snorkeling, and diving activities contribute to physical damage to the coral, creating a complex management challenge that requires strict regulation.[23], [74] A particularly successful and important application of the ecotourism model is in Indigenous tourism. Supported by government grants and mentoring programs, First Nations-owned tour operations provide authentic cultural experiences that generate economic benefits while supporting the preservation of cultural heritage and connection to Country.[33], [34] However, the Australian model is not without controversy. There is significant public and expert criticism of a growing trend to approve the construction of commercial, often luxury, "eco-accommodations" and other private infrastructure within the boundaries of national parks. Critics argue that this trend amounts to the privatization of public lands, causes substantial ecological impacts like habitat fragmentation, and often fails to deliver promised benefits to local communities, instead favoring wealthy developers and elite tourists.[75], [76]

Table 3: Comparative Case Study Analysis: Costa Rica, Galápagos Islands, and Australia

Feature	Costa Rica (National Strategy Model)	Galápagos Islands (Regulation-Intensive Model)	Australia (Certified/Regulated Model)
Primary Governance Model	Strong, centralized government policy; national park system; laws promoting conservation (e.g., PESP).[27], [61]	Strict national park regulations; special legislative framework (Special Law of 1998).[58], [69]	Industry-led, third-party certification (Ecotourism Australia); government-supported national framework.[53], [72]
Key Success Factors	Early and consistent political will for conservation; integration of science into policy; strong private sector innovation in eco-lodges.[62], [64]	High park entrance fees funding conservation; strict visitor controls (guides, trails) that minimize direct impact.[39], [69]	Credible certification system that combats greenwashing; strong growth in authentic Indigenous-led tourism.[34], [72]
Major Criticisms/Failures	Overcrowding in popular parks; "greenwashing" by businesses free-riding on national brand; ongoing pressure from other industries (agriculture).[2], [64]	Failure to control tourism-driven immigration and urbanization; introduction of invasive species; ineffective implementation and enforcement of the Special Law.[40], [58], [66]	Controversial development of private infrastructure within national parks; ongoing damage to sensitive ecosystems like the Great Barrier Reef from tourism activities.[23], [76]
Primary Lesson Learned	A long-term, top-down national commitment to conservation	Strict on-the-ground regulations are insufficient if they are not	Third-party certification can be an effective tool for maintaining industry standards, but it

Feature	Costa Rica (National Strategy Model)	Galápagos Islands (Regulation-Intensive Model)	Australia (Certified/Regulated Model)
	can create a powerful and economically successful ecotourism brand.	supported by effective governance of broader socioeconomic pressures (e.g., migration, development).	cannot resolve fundamental political conflicts over land use and the privatization of natural resources.

Section 6: Conclusion: A Framework for a More Sustainable Future

The comprehensive analysis of ecotourism's principles, documented benefits, inherent risks, and real-world applications reveals a complex and often contradictory picture. The answer to the question of whether ecotourism is "good or bad" is unequivocally that it is contingent. Its impact is not predetermined by the label but is forged in the crucible of implementation. This concluding section synthesizes the report's findings to move beyond a simple verdict, offering instead a framework for critical evaluation and a set of actionable recommendations for key stakeholders to guide the industry toward fulfilling its sustainable promise.

6.1 Synthesizing the Verdict: Beyond "Good or Bad"

Ecotourism cannot be categorized as either wholly good or wholly bad. It is a concept with immense potential for positive transformation, but it is simultaneously fraught with significant risks of causing irreversible harm. The evidence presented throughout this report demonstrates that ecotourism is best understood as a powerful tool. In the hands of skilled practitioners, supported by robust governance and genuine community partnership, it can be used to build sustainable local economies, fund vital conservation work, and preserve cultural heritage. The successes in Costa Rica's reforestation and Australia's Indigenous community empowerment are testaments to this potential.

However, when this tool is wielded clumsily—or cynically—driven by short-term profit motives, weak regulation, and a disregard for local communities, it can destroy the very assets upon which it is built. The ecological crises in the Galápagos, the hollow economies created by economic leakage, and the façade of greenwashing are clear evidence of its destructive capacity.

Therefore, the ultimate impact of any ecotourism venture is determined not by its marketing but by the integrity of its application. The "eco" prefix is not a guarantee of virtue but an aspiration that must be continuously and rigorously tested against a clear set of performance standards.

The critical challenge for the future of the industry is to close the pervasive gap between principle and practice.

6.2 A Framework for Critical Evaluation: Key Indicators for Success

Based on the analysis of successes and failures across diverse case studies, a framework can be constructed to critically evaluate the authenticity and sustainability of any project or destination marketed as "ecotourism." This framework provides a practical tool for policymakers, investors, and conscientious travelers to look beyond superficial claims and assess true performance. The key indicators for success are:

- **Governance and Regulation:** Is the venture operating within a clear and enforced regulatory framework? Does it hold a credible, independent, third-party certification (e.g., from Ecotourism Australia or a GSTC-recognized body)? The presence of robust governance is the primary defense against greenwashing and unsustainable development.
- **Community Ownership and Benefit:** Who owns the businesses? Where do the profits go? There should be clear evidence of local ownership or, at a minimum, high levels of local employment in skilled and management positions. The economic model must be transparent, demonstrating minimal leakage and tangible, equitably distributed benefits—such as jobs, infrastructure improvements, or social services—for the broader host community.
- **Conservation Contribution:** Is there a direct, transparent, and significant financial link between tourism revenue and conservation? This could be through park fees, direct donations, or a percentage of profits being reinvested into verifiable conservation and restoration projects. The contribution should be more than a token gesture.
- **Visitor Management and Carrying Capacity:** Are there effective mechanisms in place to manage the volume and impact of visitors? This includes limiting group sizes, enforcing rules on designated trails, and adhering to scientifically determined carrying capacities for sensitive sites to prevent the "paradox of popularity."
- **Authenticity of Experience:** Is the primary focus on genuine interpretation, education, and meaningful cultural exchange? The experience should foster a deeper understanding of the natural and cultural environment, rather than relying on staged, commodified performances or superficial entertainment.

6.3 Recommendations for Stakeholders

To help steer the ecotourism industry toward a more genuinely sustainable future, the following recommendations are offered to its key stakeholders:

- **For Policymakers and Governments:**
    - **Develop and Enforce Strong Frameworks:** Establish clear national sustainability frameworks and provide the resources and political will to rigorously enforce environmental regulations and land-use planning.

    - **Incentivize Local Ownership:** Create policies that support local entrepreneurship in the tourism sector, such as providing access to low-interest capital, business training, and mentoring programs. Prioritize local and community-owned businesses in the concession and permitting processes.
    - **Empower Communities:** Ensure that local and Indigenous communities are not just consulted but are empowered as primary partners and decision-makers in any tourism development that affects their lands and resources.

- **For Tour Operators and the Tourism Industry:**
    - **Pursue Credible Certification:** Go beyond self-proclamation and obtain credible, third-party sustainability certification to demonstrate a genuine commitment to the principles of ecotourism and build consumer trust.
    - **Embrace Transparency:** Be transparent about business ownership, financial flows, and the specific contributions made to conservation and community development. Actively combat economic leakage by prioritizing local hiring and sourcing from local suppliers.
    - **Prioritize Education over Volume:** Design experiences that are genuinely educational and interpretive. Focus on providing high-quality, low-impact experiences for smaller groups rather than maximizing visitor throughput for short-term profit.
- **For Travelers:**
    - **Be a Critical Consumer:** Look beyond marketing buzzwords. Ask questions and do research. Prioritize operators who hold credible third-party certifications and are transparent about their practices.
    - **Support the Local Economy:** Whenever possible, choose locally owned accommodations, restaurants, and guide services. Purchase authentic, locally made handicrafts directly from artisans. This is the most effective way to ensure your spending benefits the host community and minimizes economic leakage.
    - **Travel with Respect:** Educate yourself on the local culture, customs, and environmental issues before you arrive. Adhere strictly to all park rules and "leave no trace" principles. View yourself not as a mere consumer of an experience, but as a guest with a responsibility to the place and its people.

Endnotes

1. "Ecotourism," *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Environmental Science*.
2. "Ecotourism: Pros and Cons," EBSCO.
3. Mize, "Ecotourism 101."
4. "What Is Ecotourism?," TIES.
5. "The International Ecotourism Society," *Wikipedia*.

6. "TIES Overview," TIES.
7. Wood, "What Is Ecotourism?"
8. "How to Spot and Avoid Greenwashing in Tourism," Sustainable Travel International.
9. "What Is Ecotourism?," TIES.
10. "Ecotourism," SUME.
11. "TIES Announces Ecotourism Principles Revision," TIES.
12. "The Five Principles of Ecotourism," ILHA.
13. "Ecotourism and Protected Areas," UN Tourism.
14. "Ecotourism: A Holistic Assessment," *Current World Environment*.
15. "Sustainable Tourism," UN SDGs.
16. "Sustainable Development," UN Tourism.
17. "Ecotourism," GSTC.
18. "Ecotourism," *Wikipedia*.
19. "What is ecotourism and why does it matter in Queensland?," Queensland Government.
20. "Ecotourism," *Oxford Research Encyclopedia*.
21. "Ecotourism," *Wikipedia*.
22. "Nature-Based Tourism," World Bank.
23. "The Impact of Ecotourism on National and State Parks in Australia," HOPE.
24. "Costa Rica: A case study of ecotourism," YellowWood Adventures.
25. Kleszczynski, "Ecotourism in Costa Rica."
26. "Costa Rica: Leader in Conservation & Ecotourism," Wildlife Nomads.
27. Le Coq et al., "The Rise of Ecotourism in Costa Rica."
28. "Ecotourism: A Holistic Assessment," *Current World Environment*.
29. "How Does Ecotourism Affect Local Economies?," Climate Sustainability Directory.
30. Chea et al., "Ecotourism and Local Livelihood."
31. Alaza, "The Socio-Economic and Environmental Effects of Ecotourism."
32. "What is Ecotourism and How Does it Benefit Local Communities?," The Earthling Co.
33. "First Nations Tourism," NIAA.
34. "Discover Aboriginal Experiences launches 4 member case studies," Tourism Australia.
35. "Ecotourism: Pros and Cons," EBSCO.

36. "How ecotourism benefits local communities," Worldpackers.
37. Gaul, "Environmental Impacts of Ecotourism."
38. Kim and Lee, "Tourism in the Galapagos Islands."
39. "Environment," GalapagosIslands.com.
40. Watkins and Cruz, "Galapagos at Risk."
41. "What is Tourism Leakage?," Curiosity Saves Travel.
42. "Economic Leakage In Tourism," Lemongrass Marketing.
43. "Tourism Leakage," Conscious Tourism.
44. "Tourism Leakage," Conscious Tourism.
45. "How Does Ecotourism Affect Local Economies?," Climate Sustainability Directory.
46. "Overtourism on Islands," Galapagos Conservation Trust.
47. "The Dark Side of Ecotourism," *CounterPunch*.
48. "How to Spot and Avoid Greenwashing in Tourism," Sustainable Travel International.
49. "How to Spot and Avoid Greenwashing in Tourism," Sustainable Travel International.
50. "Ecotourism," *Wikipedia*.
51. "Recognise a Greenwashing Example on Your Next Trip," Ecotourism World.
52. "Greenwashing in Sustainable Tourism," Earth Changers.
53. "Sustainable Tourism Sector," Tourism Australia.
54. "Greenwashing Examples for 2024 & 2025," The Sustainable Agency.
55. Picchio, "Is Ecotourism Greenwashed?"
56. "Ecotourism principles as a framework," *ResearchGate*.
57. Swarbrooke, "Why Sustainable Tourism Failed."
58. Hoyman and McCall, "The Evolution of Ecotourism."
59. "Bad Ecotourism/Private Conservation?," Reddit.
60. "Ecotourism in Costa Rica," *Wikipedia*.
61. "Ecotourism as a Sustainable Development Model," *UFJUR*.
62. "The Origins of Ecotourism in Costa Rica," Costa Rica Invest.
63. "Ecotourism in Costa Rica," Global Studies.
64. Gámez, "Costa Rica's Ecotourism."
65. "Damaging or Beneficial?," *Forbes and Fifth*.

66. "Conservation Challenges in the Galapagos," Adventure Life.
67. "Pollution," Galapagos Conservation Trust.
68. McCall, "Balancing tourism, conservation, and development."
69. "How Sustainable Tourism in the Galapagos Makes a Difference," Expedition Ecuador.
70. "Welcome To Ecotourism Australia," Ecotourism Australia.
71. "Eco Certification Program," Wildlife Tourism Australia.
72. "ECO Certification," Ecotourism Australia.
73. "ECO Certification," Global Eco.
74. "The Impact of Ecotourism on National and State Parks in Australia," HOPE.
75. "Australia's unique natural wonders are at risk," *Global Voices*.
76. Gillespie, "Taming the wild."

Bibliography

Alaza, D. "The Socio-Economic and Environmental Effects of Ecotourism in Badian, Cebu, Philippines." *Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology* 13, no. 2 (2020): 22-30. https://journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/article/view/322.

"Australia's unique natural wonders are at risk from ecotourism expansion." *Global Voices*, October 21, 2022. https://globalvoices.org/2022/10/21/australias-unique-natural-wonders-are-at-risk-from-ecotourism-expansion/.

"Bad Ecotourism/Private Conservation?" Reddit, February 6, 2024. https://www.reddit.com/r/ecology/comments/1akto1f/bad_ecotourismprivate_conservation/.

Chea, P., et al. "Ecotourism and Local Livelihood in a Community-Based Ecotourism Site of Cambodia." *Sustainability* 11, no. 18 (2019): 4977. https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/11/18/4977.

"Conservation Challenges in the Galapagos." Adventure Life. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://www.adventure-life.com/galapagos/articles/conservation-challenges-in-the-galapagos.

"Costa Rica: A case study of ecotourism." YellowWood Adventures. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://yellowwoodadventures.com/journal/ecotourism.

"Costa Rica: Leader in Conservation & Ecotourism." Wildlife Nomads, May 22, 2024. https://www.wildlifenomads.com/blog/costarica-leader-conservation-ecotourism/.

"Damaging or Beneficial?" *Forbes and Fifth*, University of Pittsburgh. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://www.forbes5.pitt.edu/article/damaging-or-beneficial.

"The Dark Side of Ecotourism." *CounterPunch*, July 10, 2025. https://www.counterpunch.org/2025/07/10/the-dark-side-of-ecotourism/.

"Discover Aboriginal Experiences launches 4 member case studies on sustainable tourism for Earth Day 2024." Tourism Australia, April 15, 2024. https://www.tourism.australia.com/en/news-

and-events/news/discover-aboriginal-experiences-launches-4-member-case-studies-on-sustainable-tourism-for-earth-day-2024.html.

"Economic Leakage In Tourism: What Is It, And What Can Travel Brands Do About It?" Lemongrass Marketing, February 25, 2025. https://www.lemongrassmarketing.com/insights/economic-leakage-in-tourism.

"ECO Certification." Ecotourism Australia. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://ecotourism.org.au/sustainability/businesses/eco-certification/.

"ECO Certification." Global Eco Asia-Pacific Tourism Conference. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://globaleco.com.au/ecotourism-australia/eco-certification.

"Eco Certification Program." Wildlife Tourism Australia. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://www.wildlifetourism.org.au/conservation/policies/best-practices/eco-certification-program/.

"Ecotourism." Global Sustainable Tourism Council. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://www.gstc.org/ecotourism/.

"Ecotourism." *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Environmental Science*, July 29, 2020. https://oxfordre.com/environmentalscience/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780199389414.001.0001/acrefore-9780199389414-e-378.

"Ecotourism." *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Environmental Science*, July 29, 2020. https://oxfordre.com/environmentalscience/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780199389414.001.0001/acrefore-9780199389414-e-378#:~:text=However%2C%20largely%20due%20to%20the,%2C%20and%20(3)%20experience%20and.

"Ecotourism." SUME, 2017. https://esug.sycl.net/file_link/00087/5b-Ecotourism_SUME_636928308102398000.pdf.

"Ecotourism." In *Wikipedia*, October 15, 2025. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ecotourism.

"Ecotourism and Protected Areas." UN Tourism. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://www.unwto.org/sustainable-development/ecotourism-and-protected-areas.

"Ecotourism as a Sustainable Development Model: The Case of Costa Rica." *University of Florida Journal of Undergraduate Research* 21, no. 1 (2023). https://journals.flvc.org/UFJUR/article/download/130765/136452/.

"Ecotourism in Costa Rica." Global Studies, St. Lawrence University. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://global-studies.shorthandstories.com/ecotourism-in-costa-rica/index.html.

"Ecotourism in Costa Rica." In *Wikipedia*, September 28, 2025. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ecotourism_in_Costa_Rica.

"Ecotourism principles as a framework for culturally responsive community development." *ResearchGate*, June 2016. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303884436_Ecotourism_principles_as_a_framework_for_culturally_responsive_community_development.

"Ecotourism: A Holistic Assessment of Environmental and Socioeconomic Effects towards Sustainable Development." *Current World Environment* 19, no. 2 (2024). https://www.cwejournal.org/vol2no2/pecotourism-a-holistic-assessment-of-environmental-and-socioeconomic-effects-towards-sustainable-developmentp.

"Ecotourism: Pros and Cons." EBSCO Research Starters. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/sports-and-leisure/ecotourism-pros-and-cons.

"Environment." GalapagosIslands.com. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://www.galapagosislands.com/info/environment.html.

"First Nations Tourism." National Indigenous Australians Agency. Last modified August 16, 2023. https://www.niaa.gov.au/our-work/employment-and-economic-development/first-nations-tourism.

"The Five Principles of Ecotourism." International Luxury Hotel Association, October 28, 2021. https://ilha.org/the-five-principles-of-ecotourism/.

Gámez, L. "Costa Rica's Ecotourism: A Co-created Industry." Harvard Business School Working Paper, 16-136, May 2016. https://www.hbs.edu/ris/download.aspx?name=16-136.pdf.

Gaul, Dirk. "Environmental Impacts of Ecotourism." FAO, March 2003. https://foris.fao.org/static/pdf/foph/ecotour.pdf.

Gillespie, Eden. "Taming the wild: is the rise in 'eco-accommodation' a threat to Australia's national parks?" *The Guardian*, June 19, 2022. https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2022/jun/19/taming-the-wild-is-the-rise-in-eco-accommodation-a-threat-to-australias-national-parks.

"Greenwashing Examples for 2024 & 2025 | Products & Brands." The Sustainable Agency, August 31, 2025. https://thesustainableagency.com/blog/greenwashing-examples/.

"Greenwashing in Sustainable Tourism and Responsible Travel Blog." Earth Changers. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://www.earth-changers.com/purpose/greenwashing-in-sustainable-tourism-responsible-travel/.

"How Does Ecotourism Affect Local Economies?" Climate Sustainability Directory, April 16, 2025. https://climate.sustainability-directory.com/question/how-does-ecotourism-affect-local-economies/.

"How ecotourism benefits local communities." Worldpackers, November 10, 2022. https://www.worldpackers.com/articles/ecotourism-benefits.

"How to Spot and Avoid Greenwashing in Tourism." Sustainable Travel International, April 25, 2024. https://sustainabletravel.org/how-to-spot-avoid-greenwashing-tourism/.

"How Sustainable Tourism in the Galapagos Makes a Difference." Expedition Ecuador. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://expeditionec.com/blog/how-sustainable-tourism-in-the-galapagos-makes-a-difference/.

Hoyman, Michele Matis, and Jamie Randall McCall. "The Evolution of Ecotourism: The Story of the Galapagos Islands and the Special Law of 1998." *Journal of Ecotourism*, 2012.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/260067487_The_Evolution_of_Ecotourism_The_Story_of_the_Galapagos_Islands_and_the_Special_Law_of_1998.

"The Impact of Ecotourism on National and State Parks in Australia." Householders' Options to Protect the Environment (HOPE), January 2025. https://www.hopeaustralia.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/The-Impact-of-Ecotourism-on-National-and-State-Parks-in-Australia-Jan-2025.pdf.

"The International Ecotourism Society." In *Wikipedia*, October 1, 2025. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_International_Ecotourism_Society.

Kim, H., & Lee, T. J. "Tourism in the Galapagos Islands: Ecotourism or Greenwashing." *CORE*, 2018. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/268106451.pdf.

Kleszczynski, K. "Ecotourism in Costa Rica: A Sustainable Alternative to Conventional Tourism." Pell Scholars and Senior Theses, 2016. https://digitalcommons.salve.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1106&context=pell_theses.

Le Coq, Jean-François, et al. "The Rise of Ecotourism in Costa Rica: A Challenge to the Traditional Agricultural Sector?" *Undergraduate Research Journal* 18, no. 1 (2015). https://openspaces.unk.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1051&context=undergraduate-research-journal.

McCall, Jamie. "Balancing tourism, conservation, and development: a political ecology of ecotourism on the Galapagos Islands." *Journal of Ecotourism* 15, no. 2 (2016): 1-17. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291388685_Balancing_tourism_conservation_and_development_a_political_ecology_of_ecotourism_on_the_Galapagos_Islands.

Mize, T. "Ecotourism 101: Ecotourism Examples, Definition, Best Practices." Mize. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://mize.tech/blog/ecotourism-101-ecotourism-examples-definition-best-practices/.

"Nature-Based Tourism." The World Bank, April 25, 2024. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/environment/brief/nature-based-tourism.

"The Origins of Ecotourism in Costa Rica: A Journey of Science, Policy, and Entrepreneurship." Costa Rica Invest. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://costaricainvest.org/en/the-origins-of-ecotourism-in-costa-rica-a-journey-of-science-policy-and-entrepreneurship/.

"Overtourism on Islands: Causes, Impacts and Solutions." Galapagos Conservation Trust, September 2025. https://galapagosconservation.org.uk/galapagos-overtourism-islands/.

Picchio, Chloe. "Is Ecotourism Greenwashed? The Intersection of Ecotourism Regulations, Greenwashing and Indigenous Communities." UNC School of Law, 2023. https://law.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Picchio.pdf.

"Pollution." Galapagos Conservation Trust. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://galapagosconservation.org.uk/about-galapagos/conservation-challenges/pollution/.

"Recognise a Greenwashing Example on Your Next Trip." Ecotourism World, March 18, 2024. https://ecotourism-world.com/recognise-a-greenwashing-example-on-your-next-trip/.

"Sustainable Development." UN Tourism. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://www.untourism.int/sustainable-development.

"Sustainable Tourism." United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://sdgs.un.org/topics/sustainable-tourism.

"Sustainable Tourism Sector." Tourism Australia. Last modified September 26, 2025. https://www.tourism.australia.com/en/about/industry-sectors/sustainable-tourism.html.

Swarbrooke, John. "Why Sustainable Tourism Failed." Responsible Tourism Partnership, February 2023. https://responsibletourismpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/WHY-SUSTAINABLE-TOURISM-FAILED-PublishedISBN.pdf.

"TIES Announces Ecotourism Principles Revision." The International Ecotourism Society, November 19, 2015. https://ecotourism.org/news/ties-announces-ecotourism-principles-revision/.

"TIES Overview." The International Ecotourism Society. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://ecotourism.org/ties-overview/.

"Tourism Leakage | Wanderlust With A Purpose." Conscious Tourism. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://conscioustourism.com.au/tourism-leakage-in-australia-and-beyond/#:~:text=EXPORT%20LEAKAGE%20occurs%20when%20there,it's%20an%20American%20owned%20chain.

"Tourism Leakage | Wanderlust With A Purpose." Conscious Tourism, August 16, 2021. https://conscioustourism.com.au/tourism-leakage-in-australia-and-beyond/.

Watkins, G., & Cruz, F. "Galapagos at Risk: A Socioeconomic Analysis." Charles Darwin Foundation, 2007. https://www.darwinfoundation.org/en/documents/642/Watkins_and_Cruz_07_Galapagos_At_Risk_Socioeconomic_Analysis.pdf.

"Welcome To Ecotourism Australia Your Sustainable Tourism Partner." Ecotourism Australia. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://ecotourism.org.au/.

"What is ecotourism and why does it matter in Queensland?" Queensland Department of Tourism and Sport, June 18, 2025. https://www.detsi.qld.gov.au/our-department/news-media/down-to-earth/what-is-ecotourism.

"What is Ecotourism and How Does it Benefit Local Communities?" The Earthling Co., June 22, 2022. https://theearthlingco.com/blogs/news/what-is-ecotourism-and-how-does-it-benefit-local-communities.

"What Is Ecotourism?" The International Ecotourism Society. Accessed October 17, 2025. https://ecotourism.org/what-is-ecotourism/.

Wood, Megan Epler. "What Is Ecotourism? The History & Principles of Responsible Travel." Green Global Travel, October 2, 2020. https://greenglobaltravel.com/what-is-ecotourism-history-principles-of-responsible-travel/.